EXPLORING ALTERNATIVE TRANSCRIPT STRUCTURE IN THE HUMAN GENOME USING BLOCKS AND INTERPRO
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Understanding how alternative splicing affects gene function is an important challenge facing modern-day molecular biology. Using homology-based, protein sequence analysis methods, it should be possible to investigate how transcript diversity impacts protein function. To test this, high-quality exon-intron structures were deduced for over 8000 human genes, including over 1300 (17 percent) that produce multiple transcript variants. A data mining technique (DiMotif) was developed to identify genes in which transcript variation coincides with changes in conserved motifs between variants. Applying this method, we found that 30 percent of the multi-variant genes in our test set exhibited a differential profile of conserved InterPro and/or BLOCKS motifs across different mRNA variants. To investigate these, a visualization tool (ProtAnnot) that displays amino acid motifs in the context of genomic sequence was developed. Using this tool, genes revealed by the DiMotif method were analyzed, and when possible, hypotheses regarding the potential role of alternative transcript structure in modulating gene function were developed. Examples of these, including: MEOX1, a homeobox-containing protein; AIRE, involved in auto-immune disease; PLAT, tissue type plasminogen activator; and CD79b, a component of the B-cell receptor complex, are presented. These results demonstrate that amino acid motif databases like BLOCKS and InterPro are useful tools for investigating how alternative transcript structure affects gene function.
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1. Introduction

Understanding how alternative splicing affects gene function is an important challenge facing modern-day molecular biology. Current estimates project that around a third of multi-exon, human genes give rise to more than one transcript variant, but the functional significance of this variation is unknown in most cases.1,2
Alternative splicing has been studied intensively at the level of individual genes, and examples of differential expression of transcript variants are common. In some cases, expression of specific variants appears to be restricted to distinct cell types, while in others, different variants are co-expressed in varying ratios, and these ratios may change in response to extracellular signals or changes in the physiological state of the cell.

Other mechanisms besides alternative splicing contribute to transcript diversity, however. For example, alternative promoter choice, resulting in differential transcription start site selection, can produce transcripts with variable 5' ends. Likewise, alternative polyadenylation can produce RNA species with variable 3' regions. To our knowledge, no analysis of the frequency of alternative promoter choice among human genes has been published. Alternative polyadenylation site choice is better understood; a recent manual analysis of 52 human genes located on chromosome 2lq22.3 reports that at least half of these genes exhibit clear evidence of multiple polyadenylation sites.

These mechanisms for generating transcript diversity can affect gene function either at the level of RNA, such as by differential inclusion of structural or sequence-based motifs controlling translation, message localization, or stability, or at the level of the translated protein product when coding regions are affected. In the latter case, alternative transcript structure can affect protein function in at least three ways. First, alternative transcript structure could simply remodel domains present in all forms, such as by mutually exclusive use of cassette exons, each encoding variations on the same general motif or functional domain. Second, functionally important coding sequence can be added or deleted, resulting in proteins with different, even antagonistic functions. For example, the human BclX gene produces at least two distinct variants, a long form which inhibits apoptosis and a shorter form which promotes it. Third, alternative splicing may change the composition of protein motifs that are detected as repeated and/or discontinuous spans across the protein sequence. For example, the number of repeated motif elements, such as WD40 repeats, may differ between variants. Here, we explore the effects of these latter two types of changes using libraries of conserved amino acid motifs.

Numerous methods for classifying proteins according to their homologies to known amino acid patterns or motif signatures have been developed. For example, the BLOCKS library of protein family profiles is based on conserved, ungapped segments ("BLOCKS") that typically occur in clusters within related proteins. The InterPro database organizes protein sequence profiles from several different motif databases into a single resource and so provides a comprehensive description of the known protein universe. Profiles which detect similar patterns have been grouped into single InterPro entries, and many of these InterPro "meta-motifs" have been assigned biological function using the Gene Ontology Consortium’s controlled vocabulary. Using the program InterproScan, one can easily search for homologies to protein motifs described by the PRINTS, ProDom, PROSITE, Pfam, and other InterPro member databases.
These and other protein classification systems have been widely used to predict function and family affiliation for newly discovered proteins deduced from the conceptual translation of genomic DNA and cDNA sequences. Given the sensitivity and wide scope of these methods, it is possible that they could also be used to study how alternative splicing impacts protein function. By comparing the pattern of functional motifs detected in different proteins produced by the same gene, it should be possible to construct hypotheses describing how alternative transcript structure may affect gene function. To determine whether this approach is feasible, we developed a test set of human genes with solved genomic structure and used BLOCKS and InterPro member libraries to examine their diverse protein products.

2. Methods

2.1. Gene structures

Human cDNA sequences were downloaded from GenBank. From these, a subset was selected that were annotated as encoding a full-length protein product. The selected sequences were aligned to working draft human genomic sequence (April, 2001 release) using pslayout, a fast cDNA-to-genomic sequence alignment program. Gene structures were defined from cDNA-to-genomic sequence alignments as follows: Regions of continuous alignment between cDNA and genomic sequence were used to define exons. Gaps exceeding 20 bases in the cDNA sequence relative to genomic sequence were used to define introns. Exons separated by fewer than 20 bases were merged to form a single exon.

2.2. Selecting high-quality alignments

Coding sequence annotations from the original Genbank records were mapped onto genomic sequence and the translation frame for coding-region exons was deduced. A conceptual translation of genomic sequence was then attempted for each gene structure inferred from cDNA-to-genomic alignments. Poor quality alignments were identified and eliminated by aligning the conceptual translations of genomic sequence to the corresponding protein sequence from GenPept using the pairwise alignment program bl2seq, a BLAST algorithm implementation available from N.C.B.I. Sequences that failed to align with 95 percent identity or better across the full length of both alignment partners were discarded. In this way, sequences with unreliable exon-intron structures within the coding region were identified and excluded from subsequent analyses.

2.3. Gene classification

Using mappings of coding regions onto genomic sequence as a guide, transcripts sharing at least 50 bases (15 amino acids) of same-frame, continuous coding region sequence were assigned to the same gene. Using this “shared coding region” rule,
transcripts mapping entirely to introns within other transcripts were automatically assigned to different genes. Similarly, transcripts which overlapped only within their non-coding regions, such as when the 5’ UTR of one transcript overlapped the 3’ UTR of an upstream gene, were also assigned to different genes. To simplify subsequent analyses, genes containing transcripts that were assigned to more than one gene were discarded.

2.4. Splice group classification

Transcripts that aligned to genomic sequence in a similar fashion were assigned to the same transcript structure group. That is, if two transcripts exhibited an identical pattern of alignment to genomic sequence across all inferred, internal splice boundaries, then they were assigned to the same splice group and were treated as the same variant in the DiffMotif analysis described below. Conversely, if an inferred intron in one transcript alignment overlapped an inferred exon in another, then the two transcripts were assigned to different splice groups.

2.5. Protein sequence analysis

Conceptual translations of genomic sequence were searched against the BLOCKS database and InterPro member libraries using default parameter settings. The InterPro and BLOCKS databases used were the versions available in November, 2001.

Matches between individual profiles and protein query sequences were modeled as collections of one or more spans within the query protein sequence. For example, a match to a profile that detects a single, continuous stretch of conserved amino acids was represented as a single span with start and end indices indicating the matched region within the query protein. Similarly, matches to profiles that detect repeated or discontinuous stretches of conserved amino acids (such as with BLOCKS) were represented as sets of non-overlapping spans within the matched protein sequence.

2.6. DiffMotifs

Results from the transcript classification and protein annotation steps described above were loaded into a database and then mined using a Perl program developed for this study. This program compares profiles of matched motifs between splice groups and notes differences between the types of motifs or number of matched spans per motif profile found in different splice groups. Such differences are defined as “diff motifs,” conserved regions detected by BLOCKS or InterPro that differ between splice groups.

2.7. Visualization

The Java-based, Neomorphic Genome Software Development Kit was used to create a prototype viewer (ProtAnnot) that displays protein annotations together with
gene structures along the genomic sequence axis. Following the identification of genes encoding “diff motifs,” ProtAnnot was used to view the exon-intron structure of the transcripts alongside the protein annotations associated with each.

2.8. Availability

Data and visualization software produced in this study are available upon request.

3. Results

3.1. Transcript classification

To carry out a large-scale survey of alternative splicing, it was necessary to build a collection of alternatively spliced genes for which the exon-intron structure is known. To create this collection, 17,811 transcripts with high-quality alignments to genomic sequence were grouped into genes by comparing the frame and relative locations of their exons along the genomic sequence axis. Here, a gene is defined as a collection of transcripts in which all members have protein-coding sequence in common and therefore encode variant forms of the same protein. Using this criterion, the 17,811 transcripts were sorted into 8,317 genes. In 187 cases, the same transcript was assigned to more than one gene. To simplify subsequent analysis, these genes were discarded, leaving 8,223 genes and 17,486 transcripts.

Fig. 1. Transcript classification protocol. Alignments between mRNA and genomic sequence were used to group transcripts into distinct gene groups. In this example, the alignments of four transcripts to genomic sequence are shown. One transcript is located entirely within an intron defined by the other three, and so it is sorted into gene group 1. The other three overlap in-frame along the genomic sequence axis and therefore are assigned to gene group 2. And within gene group 2, two transcripts are spliced according to the same pattern and are placed in the same splice group. The third transcript contains an intron that overlaps with exonic sequence in the other two, and so is assigned to a different splice group within the same gene.

Table 1 presents a list of the twenty most frequently observed InterPro and BLOCKS motifs found among the full set of 8,223 genes. We find that genes containing motifs involved in transcriptional regulation, signal transduction, and the immune system are highly represented in the human genome, a result that has been observed previously.

Fig. 1. Transcript classification protocol. Alignments between mRNA and genomic sequence were used to group transcripts into distinct gene groups. In this example, the alignments of four transcripts to genomic sequence are shown. One transcript is located entirely within an intron defined by the other three, and so it is sorted into gene group 1. The other three overlap in-frame along the genomic sequence axis and therefore are assigned to gene group 2. And within gene group 2, two transcripts are spliced according to the same pattern and are placed in the same splice group. The third transcript contains an intron that overlaps with exonic sequence in the other two, and so is assigned to a different splice group within the same gene.
In an additional classification step, transcripts were sorted into splice groups according to the following simple rule: if an inferred intron in one transcript alignment overlapped an inferred exon in another, then the two transcripts were assigned to different splice groups. Using this method, the 17,486 transcripts were sorted into 10,091 distinct splice groups. Thus, the 8,223 genes produced 10,091 distinct transcript variants, and 1378 genes (17 percent) were found to produce more than one variant form. See Fig. 1.

3.2. Protein sequence analysis

The genome-derived conceptual translations were searched against the BLOCKS and InterPro databases of conserved amino acid sequence profiles. Although the profiles contained in each database are often redundant in that many are based on the same protein families, both methods were used in order to maximize coverage. BLOCKS detected conserved motifs in 5,389 (66 percent) of these genes, while the InterPro method recognized 6,017 (73 percent). Table 1 presents a list of the 20 most frequently observed InterPro and BLOCKS motifs found among the full set of 8,223 genes. We find that genes containing motifs involved in transcriptional regulation, signal transduction, and the immune system are highly represented in the human genome, a result that has been observed previously.

Next, we assessed the frequency with which different protein forms produced by the same gene contained a different pattern of conserved motifs. To do this, we developed a simple data-mining method (DiffMotif) that compares protein annotations associated with transcripts from different splice groups belonging to the same gene. First, the method finds cases where the type of motifs detected differ between variants. For example, if one variant lacks a motif, such as a homeobox domain, that is present in another variant from a different splice group, then the DiffMotif method reports this. The method also finds cases where variants contain the same motif type, but disagree on the number of motif segments or spans. See Fig. 2. For example, a protein variant containing a different number of repeated, WD40 motifs than are found in alternative protein products from the same gene would be reported. However, this method does not detect instances where motifs differ only in length between variants.

Applying the DiffMotif method to the BLOCKS protein sequence annotations identified 340 genes that contained transcript variants with differing patterns of motifs. The InterPro analysis identified 366 genes where the motifs differed between splice groups. Together, both methods recognized a differing pattern of motifs in 475 genes. Thus, alternative transcript structure was associated with detectable changes in motif structure for 34 percent of the 1378 genes containing two or more distinct transcript variants. The remainder either encoded the same protein because alternative transcript structure affected the non-coding regions of the gene or because the number of conserved spans for the motifs contained in different protein products was not changed.
Table 1a. Most frequently detected InterPro motifs. 1504 different InterPro motifs were detected in 6017 genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th># genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Proline-rich region</td>
<td>IPR000694</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Zinc finger, C2H2 type</td>
<td>IPR000822</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rhodopsin-like GPCR superfamily</td>
<td>IPR000276</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Eukaryotic protein kinase</td>
<td>IPR000719</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Serine/Threonine protein kinase</td>
<td>IPR002290</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Tyrosine protein kinase</td>
<td>IPR001245</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 immunoglobulin and major histocompatibility</td>
<td>IPR003006</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complex domain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Immunoglobulin subtype</td>
<td>IPR003599</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Homeobox domain</td>
<td>IPR001356</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 G-protein beta WD-40 repeats</td>
<td>IPR001680</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 RING finger</td>
<td>IPR001841</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 EF-hand</td>
<td>IPR002048</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 RAS small GTPases, Rab subfamily</td>
<td>IPR003579</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 RNA-binding region RNP-1 (RNA recognition motif)</td>
<td>IPR000504</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 RAS small GTPases, Ras subfamily</td>
<td>IPR003577</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 RAS small GTPases, Rho subfamily</td>
<td>IPR003578</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Ras GTPase superfamily</td>
<td>IPR001806</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 KRAB box</td>
<td>IPR001909</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 EGF-like domain</td>
<td>IPR000561</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Src homology 3 (SH3) domain</td>
<td>IPR001452</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1b. Most frequently detected BLOCKS motifs. 1480 different BLOCKS motifs were detected in 5289 genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th># genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Zinc finger, C2H2 type</td>
<td>IPB000822</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rhodopsin-like GPCR superfamily</td>
<td>IPB000276</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Tyrosine kinase catalytic domain</td>
<td>IPB001245</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Protein kinase C-terminal domain</td>
<td>IPB000961</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 MAP kinase</td>
<td>IPB000327</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Kinase associated domain 1</td>
<td>IPB001772</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 PAK-box /P21-Rho-binding</td>
<td>IPB000095</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 PKN/rhophilin/rhotekin rho-binding repeat</td>
<td>IPB000861</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 POLO box duplicated region</td>
<td>IPB000959</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 High mobility group proteins HMG1 and HMG2</td>
<td>IPB000135</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Epidermal growth-factor receptor (EGFR), L domain</td>
<td>IPB000494</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 DM DNA binding domain</td>
<td>IPB001275</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Transforming protein P21 RAS signature</td>
<td>PR000494</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Synapsin</td>
<td>IPB001359</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Homeobox domain</td>
<td>IPB001356</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Immunoglobulin and major histocompatibility complex domain</td>
<td>IPB000306</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Proline rich extensin signature</td>
<td>PR01217</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Lambda and other repressor helix-turn-helix signature</td>
<td>PR00031</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 CUT domain</td>
<td>IPB000350</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Wiln’s tumour protein signature</td>
<td>PR00049</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Gene-by-gene analysis

Gene-level analysis was then done for the 475 genes identified by the DiffMotif technique. To facilitate this analysis, an interactive, Java-based visualization tool (ProtAnnot) was developed which displays protein motifs superimposed on alignments between transcripts and genomic sequence. Using this tool, hypotheses regarding the function of alternative transcript structure were developed for some of the genes in which the functional significance of the affected motif is known, and examples of these are presented below.

Although this tool is briefly described elsewhere,\textsuperscript{20} we describe it in detail here to aid readers in interpreting the following figures. As with other genome browser-like applications, ProtAnnot presents gene structures as rectangles indicating exons linked by line segments indicating introns. Translated regions are shown as filled rectangles, while untranslated 3' and 5' regions are shown as unfilled rectangles. The fill color for coding exons indicates the frame of translation relative to the first base of the genomic sequence axis. Thus, same-color exons that overlap along the genomic sequence axis are translated in the same genomic frame and contribute the same sequence of amino acids to their respective protein products. Amino acid motifs are shown as linked spans beneath the exons that encode them.

ProtAnnot summarizes the number of transcripts which contain exonic sequence at each base position as a series of blocks of varying height shown at the bottom of the display. We have found that this feature is extremely useful when attempting to interpret a complex locus with many variants. As observed by Edward Tufte,\textsuperscript{21} the human eye is “naturally practiced in detecting deviations from the horizon,” such as the relative differences in exon summary block height that become apparent when scanning ProtAnnot figures from left to right. By taking advantage of this aspect of human visual perception, the exon summary makes often complex splicing patterns easier to interpret.

Fig. 2. DiffMotifs protocol. Splice groups associated with each gene were compared as shown in this example. In this example, a single gene group contains two splice groups, containing one variant each. Here, exon skipping in splice group (a) deletes a conserved motif that is present in splice group (b). This difference in motif profiles between the two splice groups is recorded as a Diff Motif for this gene.

Gene group

\[ \text{a} \]

\[ \text{b} \]

Diff Motif

\[ \text{ blocks } \]
MEOX1 (mesenchyme homeobox 1, also called MOX1) is located in the BRCA1 region on chromosome 17 and is the human homolog of the mouse Mox1 homeobox-containing gene. MEOX1 interacts with homeobox-containing transcription factor Pax and is thought to be involved in axial skeleton development. MEOX1 is reported to encode at least two distinct proteins, a longer form recognized by BLOCKS and InterPro homeobox profiles and a shorter form that was found to contain no recognizable protein motifs. The shorter form lacks an internal exon (173 bp) that contains part of the homeobox motif. Exon-skipping in this form introduces a frameshift in the final exon, indicated by the different fill colors for this exon in the two different forms shown in the figure. Thus, both proteins share a common N-terminal region but differ in their C-termini.

Homeobox-containing proteins bind to DNA via the homeodomain often as hetero- or homodimers in complex with other homeodomain-containing proteins. Thus the longer MEOX1 form appears competent to interact with DNA and therefore is probably capable of regulating transcription, while the shorter form most likely is not since it lacks a homeodomain. However, if the N-terminal region of MEOX1 permits the protein to interact with other proteins involved in regulating MEOX1, then the shorter form which lacks a homeobox but which retains the amino-terminal region may serve as a negative regulator of MEOX1 function. Alternatively, the short form of MEOX1 may represent an aberrant splicing event or other artifact and may have no function.
To investigate this further, we used the U.C.S.C. genome browser Web site to inspect the MEOX1 locus. We used its human spliced EST, non-human EST, and non-human mRNA tracks to search for MEOX1 sequences which also omit this internal exon. We found none, suggesting that the exon-skipped variant is either extremely rare or that it is indeed an artifact of some sort. To distinguish these possibilities, further sequencing and functional analysis of MEOX1 cDNAs would need to be done to determine whether the shorter form is a true variant and whether it is competent to interact with known MEOX1 protein partners.

3.3.2. AIRE

The human AIRE (autoimmune regulator) locus encodes a DNA-binding protein implicated in immune cell transcriptional regulation. Mutations in this gene are responsible for autoimmune polyendocrinopathy candidiasis ectodermal dystrophy (APECED), a multi-systemic autoimmune disorder. As shown in Fig. 4, the AIRE gene encodes at least three variants due to alternative promoter use and optional splicing of an internal intron. Removal of this intron introduces a frame-shift in the downstream coding region, resulting in deletion of one copy of repeated PHD finger motif. The other two variants, which retain this intron, contain two copies of the PHD motif. In addition, the longer form contains an N-terminal SAND domain not present in the other two. Examination of the two shorter forms revealed that both contain stop codons in all three reading frames upstream of the annotated start codon, suggesting that these shorter variants encode full-length proteins. In

---

Fig. 4. Alternative transcript structure at the AIRE locus involves alternative promoter choice and optional splicing of a cassette intron. Use of an alternative upstream promoter produces a variant containing a SAND domain (InterPro accession IPR000770, Pfam accession PF01342) that is absent from the other two forms. All three forms contain one or two repeated PHD motifs (IPR001965, PF00628), but removal of a cassette intron in one of the shorter forms introduces a frame-shift that eliminates the second, downstream PHD motif. Variants shown are (a) NM_000658.1 (b) NM_000659.1 and (c) NM_000383.1.
addition, the boundaries of the optionally spliced, cassette intron conform to the expected GT-(intron)-AG splice site consensus sequences.

As described for MEOX1, we examined the AIRE locus using the U.C.S.C. genome browser, but found no spliced ESTs covering the regions affected by alternative splicing or alternative promoter choice. Given the sparseness of EST annotations in this region, we can not with any confidence assess the relative expression levels of the different AIRE variants using purely computational means.

Transfection studies have revealed that the AIRE protein is localized both to speckled domains in the nucleus as well as to cytoskeletal filaments in the cytoplasm.\(^{27}\) In addition, it has also been shown that the AIRE protein can form homodimers and homotetramers in vitro and that these multimeric forms can be detected in thymic extracts, suggesting that these multimeric AIRE protein complexes are also present in vivo.\(^{26}\) A recent deletion analysis revealed that the SAND domain is essential for nuclear targeting.\(^{28}\) Deletion of the PHD motifs did not disrupt nuclear targeting but did cause changes in the speckled pattern of AIRE protein complexes in the nucleus. These results suggest that the shorter variants which lack the SAND domain are probably not capable of entering the nucleus on their own. If they do enter the nucleus, they may do so in association with the longer form which contains the SAND domain. Similarly, the variant in which the PHD motif is modified may play a role in altering the ability of the protein to bind to its as-yet unknown nuclear targets. Thus, the AIRE gene may represent an example of how alternative splicing may play a role in regulating gene function. We suggest that researchers studying this gene analyze the relative expression levels of these three variants and attempt to deduce how these three forms may interact to regulate gene expression.

3.3.3. **PLAT**

PLAT encodes tissue-type plasminogen activator, an extracellular serine protease that converts inactive plasminogen to plasmin, another protease that attacks fibrin, the fibrous component of blood clots. Thus PLAT, along with plasmin, is a component of a proteolytic cascade that results in clearing of blood clots.\(^{29}\) PLAT has also been implicated in metastasis through its interaction with the tumor suppressor protein mastin, a serine protease inhibitor.\(^{30}\) As shown in Fig. 5, this gene encodes at least two distinct variants. Both forms contain conserved serine protease, Kringle, and epidermal growth factor homology regions, but one form (5b) contains a fibronectin type I motif not present in the other. The variant shown here which lacks this motif (5a) was isolated from a cDNA library (NIH MGC 20) made from melanotic melanoma, a form of skin cancer.

As before, we used the U.C.S.C. genome browser to investigate this locus further. Specifically, we searched for other sequences that exhibit an identical splicing pattern to the exon-skipped variant (5a). The spliced EST track in this browser revealed several such sequences, all of which were derived from the same melanotic
melanoma library. The human mRNA track contained another just one sequence that showed an identical splicing pattern to the exon-skipped form. This sequence (accession X02901) was originally isolated from human Detroit 562 cells, a pharynx carcinoma cell line. We found no example of this particular variant in any libraries made from normal tissues, however. An on-line blastn search of Genbank confirmed this. This analysis raises the possibility that this particular variant, which appears to be especially abundant in melanotic melanoma, may play a role in the pathology of cancer cells.

3.3.4. CD79b

CD79b is a component of the B-cell receptor complex. In combination with membrane-bound antibody and the CD79a protein, it participates in B-cell activation upon binding of the B-cell membrane-bound antibody to its specific antigen. As shown in Fig. 6, this gene encodes two variants which both contain a C-terminal region ITAM motif, which is involved in signal transduction. One variant, however, lacks an amino terminal region Ig-MHC (Immunoglobulin Major Histocompatibility) motif that is present in the other. This motif is thought to be involved in protein-protein interactions, suggesting that the variant which lacks it is unable to interact with some of the receptor’s normal protein partners. In fact, transfection studies have revealed that the variant that lacks the Ig-MHC motif

![Image of Exon skipping at the PLAT locus (Tissue type plasminogen activator) deletes a conserved Fibronectin type I Motif. Coding region exons are shown for two variants produced by the PLAT locus. An additional 5' non-coding region exon 15 kb upstream is not shown. Each variant contains EGF-like motifs (InterPro accession IPR000561, Pfam accession PF00008), kringle motifs (IPR000001, PF000521), and serine protease motifs (IPR001254, PF00089), but one form (a) lacks a type I fibronectin motif (IPR000083, PF00039) which is present in the other. Variants shown here are Genbank accessions (a) BC002795.1 and (b) NM_000930.1.]
is incapable of forming a functional B-cell receptor complex.\textsuperscript{33} Interestingly, this variant's expression increases upon B-cell receptor stimulation.\textsuperscript{33} If its abundance relative to the other form is also increased, then it is possible that exon-skipping in variant Fig. 6(a) may be regulated and that its differential expression upon B-cell activation may serve to modulate the normal function of the receptor.

4. Conclusions and Discussion

This report demonstrates that amino acid profile libraries such as BLOCKS and InterPro are useful tools for analyzing how alternative transcript structure affects conserved regions in the encoded proteins. Using a simple data-mining approach that examines the differential pattern of conserved motifs present in distinct variants produced by the same gene, it was found that for 475 out of 1378 genes (34 percent), changes in transcript structure coincided with changes in conserved regions in the encoded proteins.

One limitation of this approach is that our method does not analyze the precise regions that are changed between variants. In at least ten genes that were flagged by the DiffMotif method, we found that the difference in conserved motifs was due to relative truncations in the 5' coding regions of transcripts that were assigned to different splice groups because of differences in splicing further downstream. Although all the transcripts analyzed here were thought by their submitters to encode full-length proteins, it is possible that the submitters were incorrect and...
the full-length cDNAs for some variants have yet to be isolated. Because we are not certain whether these transcripts are truly complete, we do not include them in our final estimate of the percentage of genes in which conserved regions are affected by alternative splicing. Also, we included one commonly occurring motif (InterPro accession IPR000694, Proline-rich region) in our analysis that is reported by the InterPro Web site to lack specificity, and so we cannot be sure that every proline-rich region it detected in our test set should be considered. Matches to this particular profile generated a “diff motif” call for 17 genes. Taking these limitations into account, we estimate that 30 percent of the alternatively spliced genes in our test set contained different profiles of motifs as a result of alternative transcript structure.

Like all such estimates, this is only a rough appraisal of the true impact of alternative splicing on gene function. For example, the method employed here does not detect cases where single spans of conserved amino acids are shortened as a result of alternative splicing. Another limitation is that in some cases, the affected motif was detected using profiles that were originally built using alignments that included members of our test set. However, we are able to say with confidence that the regions affected by alternative splicing are indeed conserved, since these profiles were typically developed using homologs of these same proteins from multiple species.

Using a collection of over 17,000 high-quality, cDNA-to-genomic sequence alignments to define genes and transcript variants, we found that approximately 17 percent of our test set of 8,223 genes produced multiple transcript variants. This number includes transcript variation due to alternative splicing, alternative transcriptional promoter choice, and alternative polyadenylation. However, this number is lower than other estimates, which typically have used ESTs in addition to full-length cDNAs to calculate transcript variation frequencies. Since the goal of this study was to examine the impact of alternative transcript structure on protein sequences, it was necessary to restrict the analysis to high-quality alignments. Thus, our observation that 17 percent of human genes produce multiple variants represents a potentially useful lower bound for estimating alternative transcript frequency in the human genome.

A protein domain visualization tool that displays conserved motifs in the context of genomic sequence was used to investigate specific examples of how alternative transcript structure deletes conserved motifs in the encoded proteins. In the case of PLAT and MEOX1, in which exon-skipping deletes conserved motifs, EST data presented in the U.C.S.C. genome browser suggests that the exon-skipped form is a minor species compared to the variants that retain the deleted motif. Similarly, the exon-skipped variant of CD79b is reported to be a minor species compared to the non-exon-skipped form. These observations, although anecdotal, are consistent with a previous report showing that among genes which exhibit exon-skipping events, the non-skipped variant typically is more abundant.
It is possible that for some genes, these minor species may function to modulate or dampen the activity of the more abundant form. That is, they may function as weakly dominant negatives, perhaps by sequestering other proteins that interact with both forms. This possibility seems especially likely in the case of the exon-skipped form of CD79b, since its abundance increases upon B-cell activation. In the case of the PLAT variant that lacks the upstream type 1 fibronectin motif, this particular variant may be the result of aberrant splicing events associated with melanotic melanoma, the source tissue for the cDNA library from which this variant was isolated and in which it appears to be most abundantly expressed. However, it should be noted that bioinformatic analyses such as ours can only suggest hypotheses, not prove them. In light of this, we suggest that groups interested in melanoma investigate whether or not the exon-skipped variant of PLAT we describe here is abundant in normal tissues.

It is attractive to speculate that alternative transcript structure affecting coding regions generates biologically meaningful functional diversity in the encoded protein products. However, it is possible that in some cases, alternative splicing and other forms of alternative transcript production that affect the coding region actually exert their effects at the level of RNA, such as by differential inclusion of RNA sequences involved in message localization and stability. Although this study does not resolve this issue, the high incidence of differential motif structure coinciding with alternative transcript structure provide support for the first possibility, since such motifs represent conserved regions and therefore are likely to impact protein function.

We have shown that analyzing variant protein forms with respect to protein motifs is feasible and can be informative whenever the functional or structural significance of the motifs is known. Furthermore, detailed examination of genes producing proteins with distinct motif profiles can suggest hypotheses regarding the functional significance of alternative transcript structure, as described for MEOX1, AIRE, PLAT, and CD79b. However, when the functional or structural significance of the affected protein sequence motif is not known, biological interpretation becomes difficult or impossible. In light of this, future efforts will incorporate other types of protein annotations, such as structure-based annotations, in an effort to gain a deeper understanding of how alternative transcript structure affects gene function.
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